

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| 10/559,864 | 05/01/2006 | Natacha Haik-Beraud | Serie 6095 | 7435 | |
| 40582 American Air | 7590 11/09/2010 Liquide Inc | EXAMINER | | | |
| Intellectual Pr | operty Dept. | NGUYEN, NGOC YEN M | | | |
| 2700 Post Oak Suite 1800 | Boulevard | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| Houston, TX 7 | 77056 | | 1734 | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 11/09/2010 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--------------------|--------------------|--|
| 10/559,864 | HAIK-BERAUD ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Ngoc-Yen M. Nguyen | 1734 | |

| | Ngoc-Yen M. Nguyen | 1734 | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | orrespondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 02 November 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | | | | |
| M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appendor for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A | | in the final rejection, whi | chever is later. In | | | |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO | | | | | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(|). | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL. | ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp. | liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t | filed within two months | s of the date of | | | |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | appeal. Since a | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed). | nsideration and/or search (see NOT | | cause | | | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or | | lucing or simplifying t | ne issues for | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c | | ected claims. | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1: 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | maliant Amandment (| DTOL 224) | | | |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | ripliant Amendment (| F10L-324). | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | imely filed amendmer | nt canceling the | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | be entered and an e | xplanation of | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>13-24</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but of the reasons of record, see Final Office mailed Septem | | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (| | | | | | |
| IV. L. Oulei | | | | | | |

/Ngoc-Yen M Nguyen/ Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1734 Continuation of 3. NOTE: the proposed amendements to the claims raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.